arXiv:hep-th/0701152v2 14 Feb 2007

NONCOMMUTATIVE TRANSLATIONS AND ⋆-PRODUCT FORMALISM* †

Marcin Daszkiewicz, Jerzy Lukierski and Mariusz Woronowicz

Institute of Theoretical Physics
Wrocław University pl. Maxa Borna 9, 50-206 Wrocław, Poland

We consider the noncommutative space-times with Lie-algebraic noncommutativity (e.g. κ-deformed Minkowski space). In the framework with classical fields we extend the $\star$-product in order to represent the noncommutative translations in terms of commutative ones. We show the translational invariance of noncommutative bilinear action with local product of noncommutative fields. The quadratic noncommutativity is also briefly discussed.

In noncommutative space-time, in general case, the translations are also noncommutative. The aim of this note is to study the translational invariance of local noncommutative actions.

The noncommutative Minkowski space

$$[\,\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu\,] = \frac{i}{\kappa^2}\theta(\kappa\hat{x})\ , \tag{1}$$

where we choose ($\widetilde{x} = \kappa\hat{x}$)

$$\theta(\widetilde{x}) = \theta^{(1)\lambda}_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{x}_\lambda + \theta^{(2)\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{x}_\lambda\widetilde{x}_\rho\ , \tag{2}$$

is invariant under the translations

$$\hat{x}_\mu \to \hat{x}'_\mu = \hat{x}_\mu + \hat{v}_\mu\ , \tag{3}$$

if

$$[\,\hat{v}_\mu, \hat{v}_\nu\,] = \frac{i}{\kappa}\theta^{(1)\lambda}_{\mu\nu}\hat{v}_\lambda + i\theta^{(2)\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu}\hat{v}_\lambda\hat{v}_\rho\ , \tag{4}$$

$$[\,\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{v}_\nu\,] = \frac{i}{2}\theta^{(2)\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu}\,(\hat{x}_\lambda\hat{v}_\rho + \hat{x}_\rho\hat{v}_\lambda)\ . \tag{5}$$

If the relation (3) describes a coproduct from the relation (5) follows that for quadratic deformations such a coproduct is a braided one (see also[1,2]). Contrary to the recent proposal[3] , in Lie-algebraic case the formula (3) implies that the noncommutative translations are represented by standard Hopf-algebraic coproduct. It should be recalled that such standard coproduct describes the translation sector of quantum κ-Poincaré group[4] .

Let us choose firstly in (1-5) $\theta^{(1)\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ and $\theta^{(2)\rho\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ (Lie-algebraic case). In such a case the relations (1) and (4-5) describe two commuting copies of Lie algebra with the structure constant $\theta^{(1)\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$.

*Supported by KBN grant 1P03B01828.
†Presented by J. Lukierski, e-mail: lukier@ift.uni.wroc.pl

Using CBH formula for the multiplication of the group elements of the corresponding Lie group (see e.g.[5])

$$\mathrm{e}^{i\alpha^\mu \hat{x}_\mu}\mathrm{e}^{i\beta^\mu \hat{x}_\mu} = \mathrm{e}^{i\gamma^\mu(\alpha,\beta)\hat{x}_\mu} \ , \tag{6}$$

where

$$\gamma^\mu(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha^\mu + \beta^\mu + \frac{1}{\kappa}\theta^{(1)\mu}_{\nu\rho}\alpha^\nu\beta^\rho + \frac{1}{12\kappa^2}\theta^{(1)\mu}_{\rho\tau}\theta^{(1)\rho}_{\lambda\nu}(\alpha^\tau\alpha^\lambda\beta^\nu + \beta^\tau\beta^\lambda a^\nu) + \cdots \ , \tag{7}$$

one can introduce the following $\star$-product of the classical exponentials

$$\mathrm{e}^{i\alpha^\mu x_\mu} \star \mathrm{e}^{i\beta^\mu x_\mu} = \mathrm{e}^{i\gamma^\mu(\alpha,\beta)x_\mu} \ . \tag{8}$$

For two arbitrary classical field the formula (8) generates the following $\star$-multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x)\star\chi(x) &= \lim_{y,z\to x}\phi(y)\,\exp\Big(ix_\mu\widetilde{\gamma}^\mu\Big(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial y},\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z}\Big)\Big)\chi(z)\\ &= \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 K(x;x_1,x_2)\phi(x_1)\chi(x_2) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}^\mu(\alpha,\beta) = \gamma^\mu(\alpha,\beta) - \alpha^\mu - \beta^\mu$ and the nonlocal kernel $K(x;y,z)$ describes the bidifferential operator of infinite order.
The product of two noncommutative fields $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x},\hat{v})\hat{\chi}(\hat{x},\hat{v})$ is represented as the product of two commuting $\star$-products (9)

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x,v)\star\chi(x,v) = \lim_{y,z\to x}\ \lim_{u,w\to v}\phi(y,u)\,\exp\Big(&ix_\mu\widetilde{\gamma}^\mu\Big(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial y},\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z}\Big)+\\ &+ iv_\mu\widetilde{\gamma}^\mu\Big(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial y},\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z}\Big)\Big)\chi(z,w) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

For $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x},\hat{v}) = \hat{\phi}(\hat{x}+\hat{v})$ and $\hat{\chi}(\hat{x},\hat{v}) = \hat{\chi}(\hat{x}+\hat{v})$ one can put on r.h.s. of (10) $\phi(y,u) = \phi(y+u)$ and $\chi(z,w) = \chi(z+w)$. Using $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ and (9), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x+v)\star\chi(x+v) &= \lim_{y,z\to x}\phi(y+v)\,\exp\left(i(x_\mu+v_\mu)\widetilde{\gamma}^\mu\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial y},\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z}\right)\right)\chi(z+v)\\ &= \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 K(x+v;x_1,x_2)\phi(x_1)\chi(x_2) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We introduce the noncommutative integration satisfying the relation

$$\int d^4\hat{x}\hat{F}(\hat{x}) = \int d^4x\,\mu(x)\,F(x) \ , \tag{12}$$

where $\mu(x)$ is adjusted by the cyclic property of the noncommutative integral when $\hat{F}(\hat{x}) = \hat{\phi}(\hat{x})\hat{\chi}(\hat{x})$ (see e.g.[6]). The translational invariance of standard integration and the formula (11) implies that

$$\int d^4\hat{x}\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}+\hat{v})\hat{\chi}(\hat{x}+\hat{v}) = \int d^4\hat{x}\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})\hat{\chi}(\hat{x}) \ . \tag{13}$$

The formula (13) describes explicitly the translational invariance of bilinear action under noncommutative coordinate shifts (3).

The star product (8) describes the multiplication of nonordered noncomutative plane waves. In particular case of Lie-algebraic deformation, it is useful to consider the noncommutative plane waves ordered in particular way. For example, if we assume that the commutator (1) describes κ-deformed Minkowski space[4,7]

$$[\,\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\,] = \frac{i}{\kappa}\hat{x}_i \;\;,\;\; [\,\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j\,] = 0\;, \tag{14}$$

one can introduce the normally ordered exponentials[7,8]

$$:\mathrm{e}^{ip^\mu \hat{x}_\mu}: := \mathrm{e}^{ip^0\hat{x}_0}\mathrm{e}^{ip^i\hat{x}_i}\;. \tag{15}$$

Using the relation

$$\mathrm{e}^{ip^\mu \hat{x}_\mu} = \mathrm{e}^{i\widetilde{p}^0\hat{x}_0}\mathrm{e}^{i\widetilde{p}^i\hat{x}_i}\;, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\widetilde{p}^0 = p^0 \;\;,\;\; \widetilde{p}^i = \frac{\kappa}{p_0}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p^0}{\kappa}}\right)p^i\;, \tag{17}$$

one can translate the CBH star product (8) into the standard star product, used in κ-deformed field theory[8,9] , which is homomorphic to the multiplication of normally ordered exponentials. In fact, there is an infinite number of ways to define the star product, homomorphic to noncommutative multiplication rule, which is related by various nonlinear transformations of the four-momentum variable (see e.g.[8,10]).

Finally, let us consider quadratic deformations of Minkowski space. If we choose in (1-5) $\theta_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\lambda} = 0$ and $\theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)\rho\lambda} \neq 0$, the star product representing the noncommutative translations has to take into consideration the braiding (relation (5)), i.e. contrary to the formula (10), it does not factorize into the product of two identical $\star$-products. If we correctly, however, introduce one "big" star product representing the noncommutativity given by (1), (4) and (5), it is possible to represent the noncommutative quadratic translations by the classical ones. In order to show the translational invariance of corresponding noncommutative local field theory, one has to find for quadratic deformations the counterpart of the relation (12), which is less obvious than in the case of Lie-algebraic space-time commutation relations.

References

1. S.Majid, Journ. Math. Phys. 34, 2045 (1993)
2. C.Chryssomalakos and B.Zumino, Salamfest 1993 Proc., p.327 (1994)
3. A.Agostini, G.Amelino-Camelia, M.Arzano, A.Marciano and R.A.Tacchi, hep-th/0607221
4. S.Zakrzewski, Journ. of Phys. A 27, 2075 (1994)
5. V.Kathotia, math.qa/9811174
6. M. Dimitrijevic, L. Jonke, L. Möller, T. Tsouchnika, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, hep-th/0307149.
7. S.Majid, H.Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 334, 348 (1994)
8. P.Kosinski, J.Lukierski, P.Maslanka and A.Sitarz, Czech. J. Phys. 48, 1407-1414 (1998)
9. P.Kosinski, J.Lukierski, P.Maslanka, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 025004
10. L.Freidel, J.Kowalski-Glikman and S.Nowak, hep-th/0612170